arXiv:math/0703157v2 [math.RT] 4 Dec 2007

On defect groups for generalized blocks of the symmetric group

Jean-Baptiste Gramain

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Aberdeen, Scotland

March, 2007

Abstract

In a paper of 2003, B. Külshammer, J. B. Olsson and G. R. Robinson defined ℓ-blocks for the symmetric groups, where $\ell > 1$ is an arbitrary integer. In this paper, we give a definition for the defect group of the principal ℓ-block. We then check that, in the Abelian case, we have an analogue of one of M. Broué's conjectures.

1 Introduction

1.1 Generalized blocks

The concept of *generalized blocks* was introduced by B. Külshammer, J. B. Olsson and G. R. Robinson in [7]. Take any finite group G, and take a union $\mathcal{C}$ of conjugacy classes of G containing the identity. We can consider the restriction to $\mathcal{C}$ of the ordinary scalar product on characters of G. We denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ the set of complex irreducible characters of G. For $\chi, \psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$, we let

$$\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{C}} \chi(g)\psi(g^{-1}).$$

We call $\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}$ the *contribution* of χ and ψ across $\mathcal{C}$. Then χ and ψ are said to be *directly $\mathcal{C}$-linked* if $\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$, and *orthogonal across $\mathcal{C}$* otherwise. Then direct $\mathcal{C}$-linking is a reflexive (since $1 \in \mathcal{C}$) and symmetric binary relation on $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Extending it by transitivity, we obtain an equivalence relation (called *$\mathcal{C}$-linking*) on $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ whose equivalence classes are called the $\mathcal{C}$-blocks. Note that, since they are orthogonal on the whole of G, two **distinct** irreducible characters are directly linked across $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if they are directly linked across $G \setminus \mathcal{C}$. Using direct $(G \setminus \mathcal{C})$-linking (which needs to be extended by reflexivity and transitivity), the $(G \setminus \mathcal{C})$-blocks we obtain are thus the same as the $\mathcal{C}$-blocks. Note also that, if we take $\mathcal{C}$ to be the set of p-regular elements of G (i.e. whose order is not divisible by p), for some prime p, then the $\mathcal{C}$-blocks are just the "ordinary" p-blocks (cf e.g. [9]).

In [7], the authors have defined ℓ-blocks for the symmetric groups, where $\ell \geq 2$ is any integer. To obtain this, they take $\mathcal{C}$ to be the set of *ℓ-regular*

elements, i.e. none of whose cycle has length divisible by ℓ (in particular, if ℓ is a prime p, then the ℓ-blocks are just the p-blocks). The complement of $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of ℓ-*singular* elements. The ℓ-blocks thus obtained satisfy an analogue of the Nakayama Conjecture: two irreducible characters φ_λ and φ_μ of the symmetric group S_n (where λ and μ are partitions of n) belong to the same ℓ-block if and only if λ and μ have the same ℓ-core.

Following this work, A. Maróti studied generalized blocks in the alternating groups, and proved that, if ℓ is 2 or any odd integer greater than 1, then the ℓ-blocks of the alternating groups also satisfy an analogue of the Nakayama Conjecture (cf [8]).

1.2 Defect groups

One key notion in block theory is that of *defect group*. The defect group of a block, or its normalizer, while being smaller groups than the one we start from, contain a lot of information about the block and its structure. Particularly striking are Broué's conjectures, as explained in the next section.

However, we don't have any notion of defect group for generalized blocks. It is the goal of this paper to give a candidate for the defect of the principal block in the case of symmetric groups, and to test its relevance by proving that, in the Abelian case, it satisfies a weak analogue of one of Broué's conjectures.

If G is a finite group and p is a prime, we call *principal p-block* the p-block containing the trivial character. Its defect group is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. If we take G to be the symmetric group S_n, and $\ell \geq 2$ to be any integer, we define the *principal ℓ-block* to be the ℓ-block of G containing the trivial character. We would like to define its defect group to be a Sylow ℓ-subgroup of G, if any such thing existed. It turns out that, in the symmetric group, we can construct some kind of ℓ-analogue of the Sylow subgroups.

Let p be a prime. For this description, we refer to [10]. We define the p-groups $(P_i)_{i\geq 0}$ as follows: let $P_0 = 1$, and, for $i > 0$, let $P_i = P_{i-1} \wr \mathbf{Z}_p$ (where $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the cyclic group of order p). Then, for any $i \geq 0$, P_i is a Sylow p-subgroup of S_{p^i}. Now take any $n > 0$, and let $a_0 + a_1 p + \cdots + a_k p^k$ be the p-adic decomposition of n. Then $P = P_0^{a_0} \times P_1^{a_1} \times \cdots \times P_k^{a_k}$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of S_n. Note that P is Abelian if and only if $n = a_0 + a_1 p$ $(0 \leq a_0, a_1 < p)$.

If we take now any integer $\ell \geq 2$ instead of p, we can still do the same construction. We let $L_0 = 1$, and $L_i = L_{i-1} \wr \mathbf{Z}_\ell$ for $i > 0$. Then, for any integer $n > 0$ with ℓ-adic decomposition $a_0 + a_1 \ell + \cdots + a_k \ell^k$, we let
$\mathcal{L} = L_0^{a_0} \times L_1^{a_1} \times \cdots \times L_k^{a_k}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a subgroup of S_n, and its order is a power of ℓ. We take $\mathcal{L}$ as *generalized defect group* for the principal ℓ-block of S_n. Note that $\mathcal{L}$ is Abelian if and only if $n = a_0 + a_1 \ell$ $(0 \leq a_0, a_1 < \ell)$, and cyclic if and only if $n = a_0 + \ell$ $(0 \leq a_0 < \ell)$.

1.3 Perfect isometries

The strong link between the principal block and it's defect group is illustrated by Broué's conjectures (cf [1]). One of Broué's conjectures (which is just the shadow, at the level of characters, of much deeper equivalences conjectured by Broué) states that, if G is a finite group with Abelian Sylow p-subgroup P, and

if $N = N_G(P)$ is the normalizer of P in G, then the principal p-blocks of N and G are *perfectly isometric*.

This is known to be true when G is a symmetric group (cf [12]). We would like to prove an analogue of this in the case of generalized blocks for the symmetric group. The tool to do this is provided in [7]. It is the concept of *generalized perfect isometry*, and goes as follows. If G and H are finite groups, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are closed unions of conjugacy classes of G and H respectively, and if b (resp. b') is a union of $\mathcal{C}$-blocks of G (resp. $\mathcal{D}$-blocks of H), then we say that there is a *generalized perfect isometry* between b and b' (with respect to $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$) if there exists a bijection with signs between b and b', which furthermore preserves contributions; i.e. there exists a bijection $I\colon b \longmapsto b'$ such that, for each $\chi \in b$, there is a sign $\varepsilon(\chi)$, and such that

$$\forall \chi,\, \psi \in b,\ \ \langle I(\chi),\, I(\psi)\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle \varepsilon(\chi)\chi,\, \varepsilon(\psi)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Note that this is equivalent to $\langle I(\chi),\, I(\psi)\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'} = \langle \varepsilon(\chi)\chi,\, \varepsilon(\psi)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{C}'}$, where $\mathcal{C}' = G \setminus \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}' = H \setminus \mathcal{D}$.

When specialized to p-blocks, this notion is a bit weaker than the definition of Broué. If two p-blocks b and b' are perfectly isometric in Broué's sense, then there is a generalized perfect isometry with respect to p-regular elements between b and b'. It is however possible to exhibit generalized perfect isometries in some cases where there is no perfect isometry in Broué's sense (cf [3]).

We will prove in Theorem 4.1 that, if $\ell \geq 2$ is any integer, and if $n = \ell w + r$ for some $0 \leq r,\, w < \ell$, then there is a generalized perfect isometry with respect to ℓ-regular (or ℓ-singular) elements between the principal ℓ-blocks of S_n and $N_{S_n}(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as in the previous section (in particular, we only deal with the case where $\mathcal{L}$ is Abelian). In section 2, we show that the study of the ℓ-blocks of $N_{S_n}(\mathcal{L})$ reduces to that of the ℓ-blocks of $N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$. We then compute the ℓ-blocks of $N_{S_\ell}(L)$, where L is cyclic of order ℓ. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the principal ℓ-block of $N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$. This includes general results about wreath products with symmetric groups. Finally, in section 4, we state and prove a weak analogue of Broué's Abelian Defect Conjecture for generalized blocks of the symmetric group.

2 The Abelian defect case

Let $\ell \geq 2$ be any integer. From now on, we take G to be the symmetric group $S_{\ell w+r}$, where $0 \leq r,\, w < \ell$. We let $L = \mathbf{Z}_\ell \hookrightarrow S_\ell$, and
$\mathcal{L} =< \omega_1 > \times \cdots \times < \omega_w > \cong L^w$, where $\omega_1,\, \ldots,\, \omega_w$ are disjoint ℓ-cycles of G.

2.1 Blocks of $N_G(\mathcal{L})$

We have

$$\mathcal{L} \cong \mathbf{Z}_\ell^w \hookrightarrow S_{\ell w} \hookrightarrow S_{\ell w} \times S_r \hookrightarrow G = S_{\ell w+r},$$

and $N_G(\mathcal{L}) \cong N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L}) \times S_r$. Thus $\mathrm{Irr}(N_G(\mathcal{L})) = \mathrm{Irr}(N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})) \otimes \mathrm{Irr}(S_r)$.

We will define later what we mean by an *ℓ-singular* element of $N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$. We define the *$\ell$-singular* elements of $N_G(\mathcal{L}) \cong N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L}) \times S_r$ to be the $(\rho,\, \sigma)$, where

$\rho \in N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$ is ℓ-singular and $\sigma \in S_r$. For $\psi = \psi_0 \otimes \psi_1$ and $\psi' = \psi'_0 \otimes \psi'_1$ in $\mathrm{Irr}(N_G(\mathcal{L}))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi, \psi' \rangle_{N_G(\mathcal{L}),\, \ell-sing} &= \frac{1}{|N_G(\mathcal{L})|} \sum_{\substack{g \in N_G(\mathcal{L}) \\ \ell-sing}} \psi(g)\overline{\psi'(g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|N_G(\mathcal{L})|} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L}) \\ \ell-sing}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \psi_0(\rho)\psi_1(\sigma)\overline{\psi'_0(\rho)\psi'_1(\sigma)} \\ &= \delta_{\psi_1\psi'_1} \langle \psi_0, \psi'_0 \rangle_{N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L}),\, \ell-sing}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\psi_0 \otimes \psi_1$ and $\psi'_0 \otimes \psi'_1$ are directly linked across the set of ℓ-singular elements of $N_G(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if $\psi_1 = \psi'_1$, and ψ_0 and ψ'_0 are directly linked across ℓ-singular elements of $N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$. Hence the ℓ-blocks of $N_G(\mathcal{L})$ are the $b \otimes \{\psi_1\}$, with b an ℓ-block of $N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\psi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_r)$. In particular, the principal ℓ-block of $N_G(\mathcal{L})$ is $b_0 \otimes \{1_{S_r}\}$, where b_0 is the principal ℓ-block of $N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$.

2.2 Blocks of $N_{S_\ell}(L)$

We will see later that, in fact, the principal ℓ-block of $N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$ can be obtained from the principal ℓ-block of $N_{S_\ell}(L)$.

We write $N = N_{S_\ell}(L)$. Then $L =< \omega >$ is a regular, transitive subgroup of S_ℓ, so that, by [2], Corollary 4.2 B, we have $N \cong L \rtimes Aut(L)$, where the action of $Aut(L)$ on L is the natural one.

We have $K := Aut(L) = \{\omega \longmapsto \omega^k,\ (k,\, \ell) = 1\}$. In particular, $|K| = \varphi(\ell)$, where φ is the Euler function.

$N = N_{S_\ell}(L)$ being a natural subgroup of S_ℓ, we say that an element of $N_{S_\ell}(L)$ is *ℓ-singular* if it is ℓ-singular as an element of S_ℓ, i.e. just an ℓ-cycle. The ℓ-singular elements of N are precisely the ℓ-cycles of $L =< \omega >$, i.e. the ω^k, $(k,\, \ell) = 1$.

We let $\sigma = e^{2i\pi/\ell}$ be a primitive ℓ-th root of unity. We then have

$$\mathrm{Irr}(L) = \{\chi_{[m]},\, m \in \mathbf{Z}\} = \{\chi_{[m]},\, 1 \leq m \leq \ell\},$$

where, for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\chi_{[m]}$ is uniquely defined by $\chi_{[m]}(\omega) = \sigma^m$.

We want to use Clifford's Theory to describe the irreducible characters of N. N acts on $\mathrm{Irr}(L)$ via $\chi \mapsto \chi^g$, where, for $g \in N$, we have $\chi^g(h) = \chi(g^{-1}hg)$ for all $h \in L$. For $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, we write $I_{[m]} = \{g \in N \mid \chi^g = \chi\}$ the inertia subgroup of $\chi_{[m]}$, and $\chi^N_{[m]} = \{\chi^g_{[m]},\, g \in N\}$ the orbit of $\chi_{[m]}$ under the action of N. Then Clifford's Theorem has the following consequence:

Theorem 2.1. *([5], Theorem (6.11)) Take any $1 \leq m \leq \ell$. Using the notations above, let*

$$\mathcal{A}_{[m]} = \{\varphi \in Irr(I_{[m]}) \mid \langle \varphi\downarrow_L, \chi_{[m]} \rangle \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{[m]} = \{\psi \in Irr(N) \mid \langle \psi\downarrow_L, \chi_{[m]} \rangle \neq 0\}.$$

Then $\varphi \longmapsto \varphi\uparrow^N$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{A}_{[m]}$ to $\mathcal{B}_{[m]}$ such that

$$\langle (\varphi\uparrow^N)\downarrow_L, \chi_{[m]} \rangle = \langle \varphi\downarrow_L, \chi_{[m]} \rangle.$$

Now, for any $1 \leq m \leq \ell$, we have

$$L \lhd I_{[m]} \leq L \rtimes Aut(L) = L \rtimes K,$$

so that $I_{[m]} = L \rtimes K_{[m]}$ for some $K_{[m]} \leq K$. This implies easily that any irreducible character of L extends to its inertia subgroup. Hence, by a result of Gallagher (cf [5], Corollary (6.17)), we have, for any $1 \leq m \leq \ell$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{[m]} = \{\tilde{\chi}_{[m]}\vartheta,\, \vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[m]}/L)\} = \{\tilde{\chi}_{[m]}\vartheta,\, \vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(K_{[m]})\},$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_{[m]}$ is the (unique) extension of $\chi_{[m]}$ to $I_{[m]}$.

By Clifford's Theory, for any $\psi \in \mathrm{Irr}(N)$, the irreducible components of $\psi{\downarrow_L}$ are the elements of exactly one orbit of $\mathrm{Irr}(L)$ under the action of N. In order to obtain a description of $\mathrm{Irr}(N)$, it therefore suffices to find a partition of $\mathrm{Irr}(L)$ into N-orbits.

First note that $g \in S_\ell$ belongs to $N = N_{S_\ell}(< \omega >)$ if and only if $g^{-1}\omega g = \omega^k$ for some $(k,\, \ell) = 1$. For such a g, and for any $1 \leq m \leq \ell$, we have

$$\chi_{[m]}^g(\omega) = \chi_{[m]}(\omega^g) = \chi_{[m]}(\omega^k) = \sigma^{km} = \chi_{[km]}(\omega).$$

Hence $\chi_{[m]}^N = \{\chi_{[km]},\, (k,\, \ell) = 1\}$. In particular, we have

$$\chi_{[m]}^N \subset \{\chi_{[n]},\, (n,\, \ell) = (m,\, \ell)\}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathrm{Irr}(L) = \coprod_{d|\ell} \{\chi_{[n]},\, (n,\, \ell) = d\}.$$

We partition $\mathrm{Irr}(L)$ as follows:

Proposition 2.2. *We have*

$$Irr(L) = \coprod_{d|\ell} \chi_{[d]}^N,$$

and, for all $d|\ell$, we have $|\chi_{[d]}^N| = \varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})$.

Proof. We start by noting that, for $d|\ell$ and $1 \leq n \leq \ell$, we have $(n,\, \ell) = d$ if and only if $n = dk$ for some $1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d}$ and $(k,\, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1$. Thus

$$\{\chi_{[n]},\, (n,\, \ell) = d\} = \{\chi_{[kd]},\, 1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d},\, (k,\, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1\},$$

and

$$\mathrm{Irr}(L) = \coprod_{d|\ell} \{\chi_{[n]},\, (n,\, \ell) = d\} = \coprod_{d|\ell} \{\chi_{[kd]},\, 1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d},\, (k,\, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1\}.$$

Write $\ell = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} p_i^{a_i}$, where $a_i > 0$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$, and $p_i \neq p_j$ for $i \neq j$. Now take $d|\ell$, and write $d = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}_d} p_i^{b_i}$, where $0 < b_i \leq a_i$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}_d \subset \mathcal{I}$. Then

$$\frac{\ell}{d} = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}_d} p_i^{a_i - b_i} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_d} p_i^{a_i}.$$

Suppose first that $b_i < a_i$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}_d$. Then, for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $(k, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1$ implies $(k, d) = 1$. Thus, in this case, for any $1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d}$ such that $(k, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1$, we have $(k, \ell) = 1$, so that $\chi_{[kd]} \in \chi^N_{[d]}$. Hence

$$\chi^N_{[d]} = \{\chi_{[kd]}, (k, \ell) = 1\} = \{\chi_{[kd]}, 1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d}, (k, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1\}.$$

In particular, $|\chi^N_{[d]}| = \varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})$.

Suppose now that there exists $i \in \mathcal{I}_d$ such that $b_i = a_i$. Take $1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d}$ such that $(k, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1$. Write $k = k_d k_\ell$, where $(k_\ell, \ell) = 1$, and $k_d = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}_k} p_i^{c_i}$, with $\mathcal{I}_k \subset \mathcal{I}_d$ and $c_i > 0$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}_k$. Let $m = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}_d \setminus \mathcal{I}_k} p_i$ and $n = m\frac{\ell}{d} + k_d$. Now take any $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

- If $i \in \mathcal{I}_k$, then $p_i | k_d$, and $(k_d, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1$, so that $p_i \not| \frac{\ell}{d}$, and $p_i \not| m$. Thus $p_i \not| n$.
- If $i \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_k$, then $p_i | m\frac{\ell}{d}$, and $p_i \not| k_d$. Thus $p_i \not| n$.

Hence $(n, \ell) = 1$ and, since $(k_\ell, \ell) = 1$, we have $(nk_\ell, \ell) = 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} k - nk_\ell &= k_d k_\ell - nk_\ell \\ &= k_\ell(k_d - (m\tfrac{\ell}{d} + k_d)) \\ &= -k_\ell m\tfrac{\ell}{d} \equiv 0\ (\tfrac{\ell}{d}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $k \equiv nk_\ell\ (\frac{\ell}{d})$ and $kd \equiv nk_\ell d\ (\ell)$, whence $\chi_{[kd]} = \chi_{[nk_\ell d]}$ and $(nk_\ell, \ell) = 1$. Hence $\chi_{[kd]} \in \chi^N_{[d]}$. Thus, in this case too,

$$\chi^N_{[d]} = \{\chi_{[kd]}, (k, \ell) = 1\} = \{\chi_{[kd]}, 1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d}, (k, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1\}.$$

In particular, $|\chi^N_{[d]}| = \varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})$.

Finally, we get

$$\mathrm{Irr}(L) = \coprod_{d|\ell} \{\chi_{[kd]}, 1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d}, (k, \frac{\ell}{d}) = 1\} = \coprod_{d|\ell} \chi^N_{[d]}.$$

□

This gives us the whole of $\mathrm{Irr}(N_{S_\ell}(L))$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Irr}(N_{S_\ell}(L)) &= \coprod_{d|\ell} \left\{ (\tilde{\chi}_{[d]}\vartheta)\uparrow^{N_{S_\ell}(L)},\ \vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L) \right\} \\ &= \{\psi_{d,\vartheta},\ d|\ell,\ \vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for $d|\ell$, we have $[N_{S_\ell}(L) \colon I_{[d]}] = |\chi^N_{[d]}| = \varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})$, so that

$$|I_{[d]}/L| = \frac{[N_{S_\ell}(L) \colon L]}{[N_{S_\ell}(L) \colon I_{[d]}]} = \frac{\varphi(\ell)}{\varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})}.$$

Furthermore, for any $d|\ell$ and $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)$, we have

$$\psi_{d,\vartheta}\downarrow_L = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq \ell \\ (n,\ell)=d}} \chi_{[n]} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d} \\ (k, \frac{\ell}{d})=1}} \chi_{[kd]}.$$

We can now construct the ℓ-blocks of N. For any $d, d'|\ell$, $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)$ and $\vartheta' \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d']}/L)$, we have

$$\langle \psi_{d,\vartheta}, \psi_{d',\vartheta'} \rangle_{\ell-sing} = \frac{1}{\ell\varphi(\ell)} \sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq \ell \\ (k,\,\ell)=1}} \psi_{d,\vartheta}(\omega^k)\overline{\psi_{d',\vartheta'}(\omega^k)} = \frac{1}{\ell}\psi_{d,\vartheta}(\omega)\overline{\psi_{d',\vartheta'}(\omega)}$$

(all ℓ-cycles of L being conjugate in N). Now, by the above, we have

$$\psi_{d,\vartheta}(\omega) = \sum_{\substack{1\leq n\leq \ell \\ (n,\,\ell)=d}} \chi_{[n]}(\omega) = \sum_{\substack{1\leq n\leq \ell \\ (n,\,\ell)=d}} \sigma^n$$

(and similarly for $\psi_{d',\vartheta'}(\omega)$).

Lemma 2.3. *For any $d|\ell$, $\{\sigma^n,\ 1 \leq n \leq \ell,\ (n,\,\ell) = d\}$ is the set of primitive $\frac{\ell}{d}$-th roots of unity.*

Proof. Take any $1 \leq n \leq \ell$ with $(n,\,\ell) = d$. Write $n = kd$, for some $1 \leq k \leq \frac{\ell}{d}$, $(k,\,\frac{\ell}{d}) = 1$. Then $\sigma^{n\frac{\ell}{d}} = \sigma^{k\ell} = 1$, so that σ^n is an $\frac{\ell}{d}$-th root of 1.

Suppose $\sigma^{nm} = 1$. Then $\sigma^{kdm} = 1$. Thus $\ell|kdm$, so that $\frac{\ell}{d}|km$, and $\frac{\ell}{d}|m$ (since $(k,\,\frac{\ell}{d}) = 1$). Hence σ^n is a primitive $\frac{\ell}{d}$-th root of 1.

To conclude, we just note that $1 \leq n \neq n' \leq \ell$ implies $\sigma^n \neq \sigma^{n'}$, so that

$$|\{\sigma^n,\ 1 \leq n \leq \ell,\ (n,\,\ell) = d\}| = |\{1 \leq n \leq \ell,\ (n,\,\ell) = d\}| = \varphi(\frac{\ell}{d}),$$

the number of primitive $\frac{\ell}{d}$-th roots of 1. □

Thus, for any $d|\ell$ and $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)$, $\psi_{d,\vartheta}(\omega)$ is the sum of the primitive $\frac{\ell}{d}$-th roots of unity. This is an example of *Ramanujan's sum* (cf [4] 5.6 (2)). Ramanujan's sum $c_q(m)$, defined for integers $q > 0$ and $m \geq 0$, is the sum of the m-th powers of the primitive q-th roots of unity. We thus have $\psi_{d,\vartheta}(\omega) = c_{\frac{\ell}{d}}(1)$. Now, by [4] Theorem 271, we have, for any $q > 0$ and $m \geq 0$,

$$c_q(m) = \sum_{n|m,\,n|q} \mu\left(\frac{q}{n}\right) n,$$

where μ is the Möbius function (cf [4] 16.3). In particular, $c_{\frac{\ell}{d}}(1) = \mu(\frac{\ell}{d})$.

Finally, we get that, for any $d, d'|\ell$, $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)$ and $\vartheta' \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d']}/L)$,

$$\langle \psi_{d,\vartheta}, \psi_{d',\vartheta'} \rangle_{\ell-sing} = \frac{1}{\ell}\ \mu\left(\frac{\ell}{d}\right)\mu\left(\frac{\ell}{d'}\right).$$

This allows us to compute the ℓ-blocks of $N_{S_\ell}(L)$. Take any $d|\ell$.

- If $\mu(\frac{\ell}{d}) = 0$, then $\langle \psi_{d,\vartheta}, \psi_{d',\vartheta'} \rangle_{\ell-sing} = 0$ for any $d'|\ell$, $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)$ and $\vartheta' \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d']}/L)$. Thus $\{\psi_{d,\vartheta}\}$ is an ℓ-block of $N_{S_\ell}(L)$ for any $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)$.

- If $\mu(\frac{\ell}{d}) \neq 0$, then $\langle \psi_{d,\vartheta}, \psi_{d',\vartheta'} \rangle_{\ell-sing} \neq 0$ for any $d'|\ell$ such that $\mu(\frac{\ell}{d'}) \neq 0$, and any $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)$ and $\vartheta' \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d']}/L)$. Thus

$$\{\psi_{d,\vartheta}\,;\ d|\ell,\ \mu(\frac{\ell}{d}) \neq 0,\ \vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)\}$$

is an ℓ-block of $N_{S_\ell}(L)$, namely the principal block β_0 since it contains the trivial character $1_{N_{S_\ell}(L)} = \psi_{\ell,1}$.

Note in particular that $N_{S_\ell}(L)$ has a single ℓ-block if and only if ℓ is squarefree.

We now want to compute the order of β_0. We have

$$\begin{aligned}|\beta_0| &= \sum_{d|\ell,\, \mu(\frac{\ell}{d})\neq 0} |\mathrm{Irr}(I_{[d]}/L)| \\ &= \sum_{d|\ell,\, \mu(\frac{\ell}{d})\neq 0} |I_{[d]}/L| \quad (\text{since } I_{[d]}/L \leq Aut(L) \text{ is Abelian}) \\ &= \sum_{d|\ell,\, \mu(\frac{\ell}{d})\neq 0} \frac{\varphi(\ell)}{\varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})} \quad (\text{as we remarked before}).\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $d|\ell$, we have $\mu(\frac{\ell}{d}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\frac{\ell}{d}$ is squarefree. Write $\ell = \prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}} p_i^{a_i}$, where the p_i's are distinct primes and $a_i > 0$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\ell_0 = \prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}} p_i$. For $d|\ell$, we have $\mu(\frac{\ell}{d}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\frac{\ell}{d} = \prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}} p_i$ for some $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}$ (in particular, $\mu(\frac{\ell}{d}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\frac{\ell}{d}|\ell_0$). Now, by [4] Theorem 62, we have $\varphi(\ell) = \ell \prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}}(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})$, and, if $\frac{\ell}{d} = \prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}} p_i$, then $\varphi(\frac{\ell}{d}) = \frac{\ell}{d} \prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}}(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})$. Thus $\frac{\varphi(\ell)}{\varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})} = d \prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}\setminus\mathcal{J}}(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})$.

Now $\frac{\ell_0 d}{\ell} = \prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}\setminus\mathcal{J}} p_i$. Thus $\prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}\setminus\mathcal{J}}(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}) = \varphi(\frac{\ell_0 d}{\ell})\frac{\ell}{\ell_0 d}$, and $\frac{\varphi(\ell)}{\varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})} = \frac{\ell}{\ell_0}\varphi(\frac{\ell_0 d}{\ell})$. We get

$$|\beta_0| = \sum_{d|\ell,\, \mu(\frac{\ell}{d})\neq 0} \frac{\varphi(\ell)}{\varphi(\frac{\ell}{d})} = \sum_{\delta|\ell_0} \frac{\ell}{\ell_0}\varphi\left(\frac{\ell_0}{\delta}\right) = \frac{\ell}{\ell_0}\sum_{\delta|\ell_0}\varphi\left(\frac{\ell_0}{\delta}\right) = \ell.$$

3 Principal block of $N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$

We now need to compute the principal ℓ-block of $\mathcal{N} = N_{S_{\ell_w}}(\mathcal{L})$. We have $\mathcal{N} = N_{S_{\ell w}}(L^w) \cong N_{S_\ell}(L) \wr S_w = N \wr S_w$. In order to do this study, we need some results about the conjugacy classes and irreducible characters of wreath products.

3.1 Wreath products

Let H be a finite group (we will later use the following general results for $H = L$ and $H = N$). The following facts can be found in [11].

Take $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ representatives for the conjugacy classes of H. The elements of the wreath product $H \wr S_w$ are of the form $(h;\gamma) = (h_1, \ldots, h_w;\gamma)$, with $h_1, \ldots, h_w \in H$ and $\gamma \in S_w$. For any such element, and for any k-cycle $\kappa = (j, j\kappa, \ldots, j\kappa^{k-1})$ in γ, we define the *cycle product* of $(h;\gamma)$ and κ by

$$g((h;\gamma),\kappa) = h_j h_{j\kappa^{-1}} h_{j\kappa^{-2}} \ldots h_{j\kappa^{-(k-1)}}.$$

In particular, $g((h;\gamma),\kappa) \in H$. If γ has cycle structure π say, then we form r partitions $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_r)$ from π as follows: any cycle κ in π gives a cycle of the same length in π_i if the cycle product $g((h;\gamma),\kappa)$ is conjugate to g_i. The resulting *r-tuple of partitions* of w describes the *cycle structure* of $(h;\gamma)$. We then have

Lemma 3.1. *([11] Proposition 4.1) Two elements of $H \wr S_w$ are conjugate if and only if they have the same cycle structure, and the conjugacy classes of $H \wr S_w$ are parametrized by the r-tuples of partitions of w:*

$$\{(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_r); \sum_{i=1}^{r} |\pi_i| = w\} = \{(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_r) \Vdash w\}.$$

Note that, if H is a natural subgroup of S_ℓ, and if we imbed $H \wr S_w$ in $S_{\ell w}$, then, for any k-cycle κ of γ, each m-cycle of the cycle product $g((h;\gamma),\kappa)$ (seen as an element of S_ℓ) corresponds to $gcd(m,k)$ cycles of length $lcm(m,k)$ in $(h;\gamma)$, when seen as an element of $S_{\ell w}$.

We have the following result about centralizers:

Lemma 3.2. *([11], Lemma 4.2) If $g \in H \wr S_w$ has cycle type $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_r) \Vdash w$, and if a_{ik} denotes the number of k-cycles of π_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq k \leq w$), then*

$$|C_{H \wr S_w}(g)| = \prod_{i,k} a_{ik}!(k|C_H(g_i)|)^{a_{ik}}.$$

The irreducible (complex) characters of $H \wr S_w$ are also canonically labeled by the r-tuples of partitions of w. The construction goes as follows:

We write $\mathrm{Irr}(H) = \{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r\}$ and, for any $m > 0$, $\mathrm{Irr}(S_m) = \{\varphi_\lambda, \lambda \vdash m\}$. For any $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^r) \Vdash w$, the irreducible character $\prod_{i=1}^r \psi_i^{|\alpha^i|}$ of the base group N^w can be extended in a natural way to its inertia subgroup $H \wr S_{|\alpha^1|} \times \cdots \times H \wr S_{|\alpha^r|}$, giving the irreducible character $\prod_{i=1}^r \widehat{\psi_i^{|\alpha^i|}}$. Any irreducible character of $H \wr S_{|\alpha^1|} \times \cdots \times H \wr S_{|\alpha^r|}$ which extends $\prod_{i=1}^r \psi_i^{|\alpha^i|}$ is a tensor product of $\prod_{i=1}^r \widehat{\psi_i^{|\alpha^i|}}$ with an irreducible character of the inertia factor $S_{|\alpha^1|} \times \cdots \times S_{|\alpha^r|}$. Inducing this tensor product to $H \wr S_w$ gives an irreducible character, and any irreducible character of $H \wr S_w$ can be obtained in this way. We have the following

Lemma 3.3. *([11], Proposition 4.3) The irreducible characters of $H \wr S_w$ are labeled by the r-tuples of partitions of w. We have $Irr(H \wr S_w) = \{\chi^\alpha, \alpha \Vdash w\}$, where, for $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^r) \Vdash w$,*

$$\chi^\alpha = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \widehat{\psi_i^{|\alpha^i|}} \otimes \varphi_{\alpha^i} \right)^{H \wr S_w}.$$

There is a generalization of the Murnaghan-Nakayama Rule in $H \wr S_w$ which enlightens the link between the parametrizations for conjugacy classes and irreducible characters.

Theorem 3.4. *([11], Theorem 4.4) Take $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^r) \Vdash w$. Take $g \in H \wr S_w$ of cycle type $(a_{ij}(g))_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq w}$ such that $a_{tk}(g) > 0$ for some $1 \leq t \leq r$ and $1 \leq k \leq w$. Let $\rho \in H \wr S_{w-k}$ be of cycle type $(a_{ij}(\rho))_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq w}$, where*

$$(a_{ij}(\rho)) = \begin{cases} a_{tk}(g) - 1 & \text{if } i = t \text{ and } j = k \\ a_{ij}(g) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

If we let $\chi^{\emptyset} = 1$, we then have

$$\chi^{\alpha}(g) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} \psi_s(g_t) \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}=k} (-1)^{L_{ij}^{\alpha^s}} \chi^{\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s}}(\rho),$$

where the second sum is taken over the set of hooks $h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}$ of length k in α^s, $L_{ij}^{\alpha^s}$ is the leg-length of $h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}$, and $\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s}$ is the r-tuple of partitions of $w-k$ obtained from α by removing $h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}$ from α^s.

We will also write the previous result as

$$\chi^{\alpha}(g) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} \psi_s(g_t) \sum_{\beta^s \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^s}^{k}} (-1)^{L_{\alpha^s \beta^s}} \chi^{\alpha_{s,\beta^s}}(\rho),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^s}^{k}$ is the set of partitions β^s which can be obtained from α^s by removing a k-hook (including multiplicities), $L_{\alpha^s\beta^s}$ is the leg-length of the hook to remove from α^s to get to β^s, and $\alpha_{s,\beta^s} = (\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^{s-1}, \beta^s, \alpha^{s+1}, \ldots, \alpha^r) \Vdash w-k$.

3.2 The wreath product $L \wr S_w$; a generalized perfect isometry

By the results of the previous section, the conjugacy classes of $L \wr S_w$ are parametrized by the ℓ-tuples $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_\ell)$ of partitions of w. We take representatives $(g_1, \ldots, g_\ell = 1)$ for the conjugacy classes of L. For later coherence, we take $g_i = \omega^i$ $(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$, where $L = <\omega>$. We partition $L \wr S_w$ into unions of conjugacy classes according to $\pi_\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}$ (i.e. π_ℓ partition of at most w). We have

$$L \wr S_w = \coprod_{\pi_\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}} \mathcal{D}_{\pi_\ell},$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\pi_\ell}$ is the set of elements whose cycle type has π_ℓ as ℓ-th part. Then $\mathcal{D}_\emptyset$ is the set of *regular* elements of $L \wr S_w$. The elements of $L \wr S_w \setminus \mathcal{D}_\emptyset$ are called *singular*.

We now turn to the principal ℓ-block of $S_{\ell w+r}$. The trivial character of $S_{\ell w+r}$ is labeled by the partition $(\ell + r)$ of $\ell + r$, whose ℓ-core is $(r) \vdash r$. By the Nakayama Conjecture for generalized blocks ([7], Theorem 5.13), the characters of the principal ℓ-block B_0 of $S_{\ell+r}$ are labeled by the partitions of $\ell + r$ with the same ℓ-core (r). We write $\mathcal{P}_r$ the set of partitions of $\ell + r$ with ℓ-core (r), and write $B_0 = \{\varphi_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r\}$. The characters of B_0 are parametrized by the ℓ-quotients of the partitions labeling them. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r$, we write $\alpha_\lambda = (\alpha_\lambda^1, \ldots, \alpha_\lambda^\ell) \Vdash w$ the ℓ-quotient of λ. These ℓ-quotients also parametrize the irreducible (complex) characters of $L \wr S_w$. A key result of [7] is the following:

Theorem 3.5. *([7], Theorem 5.10 and Proposition 5.11) With the above notations, the map $\lambda \longmapsto \alpha_\lambda$ induces a generalized perfect isometry between B_0 and $Irr(L \wr S_w)$ with respect to ℓ-regular and regular elements respectively. That is, there exist signs $\{\varepsilon(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r\}$ such that, for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_r$,*

$$\langle \varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{S_{\ell w+r}}^{\ell-reg} = \langle \varepsilon(\lambda)\chi^{\alpha_\lambda}, \varepsilon(\mu)\chi^{\alpha_\mu} \rangle_{L \wr S_w}^{reg}.$$

Given two ℓ-tuples α and β of partitions of w, we will give another expression for $\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\substack{reg \\ L \wr S_w}}$. We have

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\substack{reg \\ L \wr S_w}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\substack{sing \\ L \wr S_w}},$$

and, with the above notations, we have

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\substack{sing \\ L \wr S_w}} = \sum_{\emptyset \neq \pi_\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}} \langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_{\pi_\ell}}.$$

We have, for any $\emptyset \neq \pi_\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}$,

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_{\pi_\ell}} = \frac{1}{|L \wr S_w|} \sum_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1},\emptyset) \Vdash w - |\pi_\ell|} |\mathcal{D}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)}| \chi^\alpha(\mathcal{D}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)}) \overline{\chi^\beta(\mathcal{D}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)})},$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)}$ is the conjugacy class of cycle type $(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)$ of $L \wr S_w$.

Now, for any $(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1},\emptyset) \Vdash w - |\pi_\ell|$, Lemma 3.2 gives, writing b_{jk} for the number of k-cycles in π_j $(1 \leq j \leq \ell,\ 1 \leq k \leq w)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|L \wr S_w|}{|\mathcal{D}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)}|} &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ 1 \leq k \leq w}} b_{jk}!(k|C_L(g_j)|)^{b_{jk}} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq k \leq w} b_{\ell k}!(k|C_L(g_\ell)|)^{b_{\ell k}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell-1 \\ 1 \leq k \leq w}} b_{jk}!(k|C_L(g_j)|)^{b_{jk}} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq k \leq w} b_{\ell k}!(k\ell)^{b_{\ell k}} \frac{|L \wr S_{w-|\pi_\ell|}|}{|\mathcal{D}^{w-|\pi_\ell|}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1},\emptyset)}|},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{w-|\pi_\ell|}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1},\emptyset)}$ denotes the set of elements of cycle type $(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1},\emptyset)$ in $L \wr S_{w-|\pi_\ell|}$. Writing $d_{\pi_\ell} = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq w} b_{\ell k}!(k\ell)^{b_{\ell k}}$, we get

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_{\pi_\ell}} = \frac{1}{d_{\pi_\ell}} \sum_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1},\emptyset) \Vdash w - |\pi_\ell|} \frac{|\mathcal{D}^{w-|\pi_\ell|}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1},\emptyset)}|}{|L \wr S_{w-|\pi_\ell|}|} \chi^\alpha(\mathcal{D}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)}) \overline{\chi^\beta(\mathcal{D}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)})}.$$

Now, repeated use of the Murnaghan-Nakayama Rule (Theorem 3.4) shows that, for any $(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1},\emptyset) \Vdash w - |\pi_\ell|$, we have, writing $\pi_\ell = (k_1,\ \dots,\ k_i)$, and since all irreducible characters of L have degree 1,

$$\chi^\alpha(\mathcal{D}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_\ell)}) = \sum_{1 \leq s_1,\dots,s_i \leq \ell} \sum_{\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\alpha,(s_1,\dots,s_i)}} (-1)^{L_{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}} \chi^{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathcal{D}^{w-|\pi_\ell|}_{(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{\ell-1})}),$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\alpha,(s_1,\dots,s_i)}$ is the set of ℓ-tuples of partitions of $w - |\pi_\ell|$ which can be obtained from α by removing successively a k_1-hook from α^{s_1}, then a k_2-hook from the "s_2-th coordinate" of the resulting ℓ-tuple of partitions of $w - k_1$, etc, and finally a k_i-hook from the "s_i-th coordinate" of the resulting ℓ-tuple of partitions of $w - (k_1 + \cdots + k_{i-1})$, and, for $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\alpha,(s_1,\dots,s_i)}$, $L_{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}$ is the sum of the leg-lengths of the hooks removed to get from α to $\tilde{\alpha}$.

We therefore get, writing $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_i)$,

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_{\pi_\ell}} = \frac{1}{d_{\pi_\ell}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le \mathbf{s} \le \ell \\ 1 \le \mathbf{t} \le \ell}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\alpha,\mathbf{s}} \\ \tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\beta,\mathbf{t}}}} (-1)^{L_{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}} (-1)^{L_{\beta\tilde{\beta}}} \langle \chi^{\tilde{\alpha}}, \chi^{\tilde{\beta}} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\emptyset^{w-|\pi_\ell|}}.$$

We will use this formula to exhibit a generalized perfect isometry between $\mathrm{Irr}(L \wr S_w)$ and the principal ℓ-block of $N \wr S_w$.

3.3 The wreath product $N \wr S_w$

We now turn to $\mathcal{N} = N_{S_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L}) = N \wr S_w$. In particular, we want to find its principal ℓ-block. Take $\{g_1 = \omega, g_2, \ldots, g_r\}$ representatives for the conjugacy classes of $N = N_{S_\ell}(L)$, where ω is an ℓ-cycle generating L. For any $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_r) \Vdash w$, we write $\mathcal{S}_{(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_r)}$ for the conjugacy class of elements of $N \wr S_w$ of cycle type $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_r)$.

Definition 3.6. *An element of $N \wr S_w$ of cycle type $(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_r) \Vdash w$ is called* ℓ-regular *if $\pi_1 = \emptyset$, and* ℓ-singular *otherwise.*

We define the ℓ-blocks *of $N \wr S_w$ by orthogonality across the set $\mathcal{S}_\emptyset$ of ℓ-regular elements.*

Note that, if ℓ is a prime, then we obtain the same ℓ-regular elements and ℓ-blocks if we identify $N \wr S_w$ with its natural imbedding in $S_{\ell w}$ and define an ℓ-regular element according to its cyclic decomposition in $S_{\ell w}$. If the cyclic decomposition of g_i in S_ℓ is $(m_1, , \ldots, m_s)$, then, for each $1 \le j \le s$, each k-cycle of π_i gives $gcd(m_j, k)$ cycles of length $lcm(m_j, k)$. A cycle of length divisible by ℓ can only appear if ℓ divides $lcm(m_j, k)$. Since $k \le w < \ell$, this forces m_j to be divisible by ℓ, and g_i to be the ℓ-cycle g_1 (in particular, the ℓ-blocks are the "ordinary" prime blocks). This fails when ℓ is no longer prime.

We partition $N \wr S_w$ into unions of conjugacy classes according to $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\le w}$. We have

$$N \wr S_w = \coprod_{\pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\le w}} \mathcal{S}_{\pi_1},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}$ is the set of elements whose cycle type has π_1 as 1st part.

We write $\mathrm{Irr}(N) = \{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r\}$, and $\beta_0 = \{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_\ell = 1_N\}$ the principal ℓ-block of N.

Given two r-tuples α and β of partitions of w, we then have

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\substack{\ell-sing \\ N \wr S_w}} = \sum_{\emptyset \ne \pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\le w}} \langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}}.$$

We have, for any $\emptyset \ne \pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\le w}$,

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}} = \frac{1}{|N \wr S_w|} \sum_{(\emptyset, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r) \Vdash w - |\pi_1|} |\mathcal{S}_{(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_r)}| \chi^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_{(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_r)}) \overline{\chi^\beta(\mathcal{S}_{(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_r)})}.$$

Now, as in the previous section, for any $(\emptyset, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r) \Vdash w - |\pi_1|$, Lemma

3.2 gives, writing b_{jk} for the number of k-cycles in π_j ($1 \leq j \leq \ell$, $1 \leq k \leq w$),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|N \wr S_w|}{|\mathcal{S}_{(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_r)}|} &= \prod_{1\leq k\leq w} b_{1k}!(k|C_N(g_1)|)^{b_{1k}} \prod_{\substack{2\leq j\leq r-1\\ 1\leq k\leq w}} b_{jk}!(k|C_N(g_j)|)^{b_{jk}} \\ &= \left(\prod_{1\leq k\leq w} b_{1k}!(k\ell)^{b_{\ell k}}\right) \frac{|N \wr S_{w-|\pi_1|}|}{|\mathcal{S}^{w-|\pi_1|}_{(\emptyset,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_r)}|},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{S}^{w-|\pi_1|}_{(\emptyset,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_r)}$ denotes the set of elements of cycle type $(\emptyset, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r)$ in $N \wr S_{w-|\pi_1|}$. Writing $c_{\pi_1} = \prod_{1\leq k\leq w} b_{1k}!(k\ell)^{b_{1k}}$, we get

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}} = \frac{1}{c_{\pi_1}} \sum_{(\emptyset,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_r)\Vdash w-|\pi_1|} \frac{|\mathcal{S}^{w-|\pi_r|}_{(\emptyset,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_r)}|}{|N \wr S_{w-|\pi_1|}|} \chi^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_{(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_r)})\overline{\chi^\beta(\mathcal{S}_{(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_r)})}.$$

Now, as before, if we write $\pi_1 = (k_1, \ldots, k_i)$, then repeated use the Murnaghan-Nakayama Rule (Theorem 3.4) shows that, for any $(\emptyset, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r) \Vdash w - |\pi_1|$, we have, with the notations we introduced,

$$\chi^\alpha(\mathcal{S}_{(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_r)}) = \sum_{1\leq \mathbf{s}\leq r} \sum_{\tilde{\alpha}\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\alpha,\mathbf{s}}} \Psi_{\mathbf{s}}(g_1)(-1)^{L_{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}}\chi^{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathcal{S}^{w-|\pi_1|}_{(\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_r)}).$$

where $\Psi_{\mathbf{s}}(g_1) = \psi_{s_1}(g_1)\ldots\psi_{s_i}(g_1)$. We therefore get

$$\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}} = \frac{1}{c_{\pi_1}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq \mathbf{s}\leq r\\ 1\leq \mathbf{t}\leq r}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\alpha}\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\alpha,\mathbf{s}}\\ \tilde{\beta}\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\beta,\mathbf{s}}}} \Psi_{\mathbf{s}}(g_1)\overline{\Psi_{\mathbf{t}}(g_1)}(-1)^{L_{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}}(-1)^{L_{\beta\tilde{\beta}}}\langle \chi^{\tilde{\alpha}}, \chi^{\tilde{\beta}}\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{w-|\pi_1|}_{\emptyset}}. \quad (\dagger)$$

Note that, if $\pi_1 = \pi_\ell$, then $d_{\pi_\ell} = c_{\pi_1}$. This will allow us later to exhibit a generalized perfect isometry.

We first prove the following:

Theorem 3.7. *If, for some $\alpha, \beta \Vdash w$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, and $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}$, we have $\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}} \neq 0$, then, for any $\ell < k \leq r$, we have $\alpha^k = \beta^k = \emptyset$. In particular, the principal ℓ-block of $N \wr S_w$ is included in $\{\chi^\alpha,\ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset) \Vdash w\}$ and, if $\alpha^k \neq \emptyset$ for some $\ell < k \leq r$, then $\{\chi^\alpha\}$ is an ℓ-block of $N \wr S_w$.*

Proof. We use induction on w. For $w = 1$, the result is just the description of the ℓ-blocks of N. Indeed, any element of $N \wr S_w$ has cycle type $(\pi, \ldots, \pi_r)$ where $\pi_i = (1)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\pi_j = \emptyset$ for $j \neq i$ (in particular, it is ℓ-regular if and only if $i \neq 1$ (i.e. $\pi_1 = \emptyset$) and ℓ-singular if and only if $i = 1$ (i.e. $\pi_1 = (1)$)). For any $\alpha \Vdash w$, we have $\alpha^i = (1)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\alpha^j = \emptyset$ for $j \neq i$, and $\chi^\alpha = \psi_i$.

Now take $w > 1$, and suppose the result true up to $w - 1$. Suppose $\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}} \neq 0$ for some $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}$.

Suppose first that $\pi_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then, by (†), if we write $\pi_1 = (k_1, \ldots, k_i)$, then there exist $1 \leq s_1, \ldots, s_i, t_1, \ldots, t_i \leq r$, there exist $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\alpha,\mathbf{s}}$ and $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\beta,\mathbf{t}}$ such that

$$\psi_{s_1}(g_1)\overline{\psi_{t_1}(g_1)}\ldots\psi_{s_i}(g_1)\overline{\psi_{t_i}(g_1)}\langle \chi^{\tilde{\alpha}}, \chi^{\tilde{\beta}}\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{w-|\pi_1|}_{\emptyset}} \neq 0.$$

By the study of the ℓ-blocks of N, we know that $\psi_k(g_1) \neq 0$ if and only if $1 \leq k \leq \ell$. Thus $1 \leq s_1, \ldots, s_i, t_1, \ldots, t_i \leq \ell$, so that, if $k > \ell$, then $\alpha^k = \tilde{\alpha}^k$ and $\beta^k = \tilde{\beta}^k$ (since only hooks from α^{s_1}, …, α^{s_i} are removed to get from α to $\tilde{\alpha}$, and similarly for β and $\tilde{\beta}$). And, by induction hypothesis (since $w-|\pi_1| < w$), $\langle \chi^{\tilde{\alpha}}, \chi^{\tilde{\beta}} \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_\emptyset^{w-|\pi_1|}} \neq 0$ implies that $\tilde{\alpha}^k = \tilde{\beta}^k = \emptyset$ for $k > \ell$. Hence $\alpha^k = \beta^k = \emptyset$ for $k > \ell$.

Next suppose that $\pi_1 = \emptyset$. Then, since $N \wr S_w = \coprod_{\pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}} \mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}$, we have

$$0 = \langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle = \sum_{\pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}} \langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}},$$

so that there exists $\emptyset \neq \pi \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}$ such that $\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_\pi} \neq 0$. By the previous case, this implies that $\alpha^k = \beta^k = \emptyset$ for $k > \ell$. This proves our assertion.

□

We now come to the construction of a generalized perfect isometry between the principal ℓ-block of $N \wr S_w$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(L \wr S_w)$. In order to relate the contribution of two characters of $N \wr S_w$ on ℓ-singular elements to an inner product on singular elements in $L \wr S_w$, we want the $\psi_i's$ which take value -1 on the ℓ-cycle g_1 to "disappear" in the Murnaghan-Nakayama Rule. We achieve this by using the following transformation. Let $\{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_m\}$ be all the irreducible characters of N which take value -1 on g_1. For any $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^\ell, \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset) \Vdash w$, we let $\alpha^* = (\overline{\alpha^1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha^m}, \alpha^{m+1}, \ldots, \alpha^\ell, \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset) \Vdash w$, where $^-$ denotes conjugation of partitions (i.e. multiplication of the corresponding character of the symmetric group by the signature) and we set

$$\chi_0^\alpha = (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha^n|} \chi^{\alpha^*}.$$

Note that * is a bijection from $\{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset) \Vdash w\}$ onto itself.

Proposition 3.8. *Take $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^\ell, \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset) \Vdash w$, and take $g \in N \wr S_w$ of cycle type $(a_{ij}(g))_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq w}$ such that $a_{1k}(g) > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq w$. Let $\rho \in N \wr S_{w-k}$ be of cycle type $(a_{ij}(\rho))_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq w}$, where*

$$(a_{ij}(\rho)) = \begin{cases} a_{1k}(g) - 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j = k \\ a_{ij}(g) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have, with the notations of Theorem 3.4,

$$\chi_0^\alpha(g) = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^s} = k} (-1)^{L_{ij}^{\alpha^s}} \chi_0^{\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s}}(\rho).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0^\alpha(g) &= (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha^n|} \chi^{\alpha^*}(g) \\ &= (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha^n|} \sum_{s=1}^{r} \psi_s(g_1) \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}} = k} (-1)^{L_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}} \chi^{\alpha^* - R_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}}(\rho) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \chi_0^\alpha(g)_s \end{aligned}$$

(since, as in the proof of 3.7, if $s \geq \ell$, then $\chi_0^\alpha(g)_s = \psi_s(g_1) = 0$).

Suppose first that $m+1 \leq s \leq \ell$. Then $\psi_s(g_1) = 1$ and $\alpha^{*s} = \alpha^s$. Thus

$$\psi_s(g_1) \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}=k} (-1)^{L_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}} \chi^{\alpha^* - R_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}}(\rho) = \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}=k} (-1)^{L_{ij}^{\alpha^s}} \chi^{\alpha^* - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s}}(\rho),$$

and

$$\chi^{\alpha^* - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s}} = \chi^{(\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s})^*} = (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |(\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s})^n|} \chi_0^{\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s}} = (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha^n|} \chi_0^{\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s}},$$

so that

$$\chi_0^\alpha(g)_s = \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}=k} (-1)^{L_{ij}^{\alpha^s}} \chi_0^{(\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s})}(\rho).$$

Now suppose $1 \leq s \leq m$. Then $\psi_s(g_1) = -1$. The set of hook-lengths of any given partition is preserved by conjugation, and, for any hook-length k, conjugation gives a bijection from the set of k-hooks of α^{*s} onto the set of k-hooks of α^s. For any $h_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}} = k$, we have $L_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}} = k - L_{ij}^{\alpha^s} - 1$ and $\chi^{\alpha^* - R_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}} = \chi^{(\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s})^*}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_0^\alpha(g)_s &= (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha^n|} \psi_s(g_1) \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}=k} (-1)^{L_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}} \chi^{\alpha^* - R_{ij}^{\alpha^{*s}}}(\rho) \\
&= \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}=k} (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha^n|} (-1)(-1)^{k - L_{ij}^{\alpha^s} - 1} \chi^{(\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s})^*}(\rho) \\
&= \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}=k} (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |(\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s})^n| + k} (-1)^{k - L_{ij}^{\alpha^s}} \chi^{(\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s})^*}(\rho) \\
&= \sum_{h_{ij}^{\alpha^s}=k} (-1)^{L_{ij}^{\alpha^s}} \chi_0^{(\alpha - R_{ij}^{\alpha^s})}(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.

□

Remark: Of course, the result of this proposition is precisely the motivation for the introduction of *. We want the virtual character χ_0^α to satisfy a convenient analogue of the Murnaghan-Nakayama Rule when evaluated at ℓ-singular elements. If we go through the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [11], we see that, essentially, our equality holds because of the following general fact (which one proves easily)

Proposition 3.9. *Let $n \geq 1$ be any integer, and, for $\pi \in S_n$, let $c(\pi)$ be the number of cycles (including the trivial ones) in π. Define*

$$\eta\colon \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} S_n & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \\ \pi & \longmapsto & (-1)^{c(\pi)} \end{array} \right.$$

Then $\eta = (-1)^n \varepsilon_n$, where ε_n is the signature of S_n.

Now, take any $\pi_1 = (k_1, \ldots, k_i) \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq w}$. Then repeated use of Proposition 3.8 yields, for any $\alpha, \beta \Vdash w$, the following analogue of (†)

$$\langle \chi_0^\alpha, \chi_0^\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi_1}} = \frac{1}{c_{\pi_1}} \sum_{\substack{1\le \mathbf{s}\le \ell \\ 1\le \mathbf{t}\le \ell}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde\alpha\in \mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\alpha,\mathbf{s}} \\ \tilde\beta\in \mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\beta,\mathbf{t}}}} (-1)^{L_{\alpha\tilde\alpha}}(-1)^{L_{\beta\tilde\beta}} \langle \chi_0^{\tilde\alpha}, \chi_0^{\tilde\beta}\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_\emptyset^{w-|\pi_1|}}. \qquad (\dagger\dagger)$$

This equality provides the main ingredient in our generalized perfect isometry:

Proposition 3.10. *Take any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \Vdash w$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \Vdash w$. Define two r-tuples of partitions $\alpha_r = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset)$ and $\beta_r = (\beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset)$ of w. Then*

$$\langle \chi_0^{\alpha_r}, \chi_0^{\beta_r}\rangle_{\substack{\ell-reg \\ N\wr S_w}} = \langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta\rangle_{\substack{reg \\ L\wr S_w}}.$$

Proof. By the results of section 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta\rangle_{\substack{sing \\ L\wr S_w}} &= \sum_{\emptyset\neq\pi_\ell\in\mathcal{P}_{\le w}} \frac{1}{d_{\pi_\ell}} \sum_{1\le \mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}\le\ell} \sum_{\substack{\tilde\alpha\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\alpha,\mathbf{s}} \\ \tilde\beta\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\beta,\mathbf{t}}}} (-1)^{L_{\alpha\tilde\alpha}}(-1)^{L_{\beta\tilde\beta}} \langle \chi^{\tilde\alpha}, \chi^{\tilde\beta}\rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\emptyset^{w-|\pi_\ell|}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{w} \sum_{\pi_\ell\vdash k} \frac{1}{d_{\pi_\ell}} \sum_{1\le \mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}\le\ell} \sum_{\substack{\tilde\alpha\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\alpha,\mathbf{s}} \\ \tilde\beta\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_\ell}_{\beta,\mathbf{t}}}} (-1)^{L_{\alpha\tilde\alpha}}(-1)^{L_{\beta\tilde\beta}} \langle \chi^{\tilde\alpha}, \chi^{\tilde\beta}\rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\emptyset^{w-k}}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (††), we have

$$\langle \chi_0^{\alpha_r}, \chi_0^{\beta_r}\rangle_{\substack{\ell-reg \\ N\wr S_w}} = \sum_{k=1}^{w} \sum_{\pi_1\vdash k} \frac{1}{c_{\pi_1}} \sum_{1\le \mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}\le\ell} \sum_{\substack{\tilde\alpha\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\alpha,\mathbf{s}} \\ \tilde\beta\in\mathcal{L}^{\pi_1}_{\beta,\mathbf{t}}}} (-1)^{L_{\alpha_r\tilde\alpha_r}}(-1)^{L_{\beta_r\tilde\beta_r}} \langle \chi^{\tilde\alpha_r}, \chi^{\tilde\beta_r}\rangle_{\mathcal{S}_\emptyset^{w-k}}.$$

We can now easily prove the result by induction on w. By the previous two equalities, if the result is true up to $w-1$, then it is true for w ($w \ge 1$). Finally, the result is clearly true in the case $w = 0$, since $\mathcal{S}_\emptyset^0 = \mathcal{D}_\emptyset^0 = \emptyset$, and $\chi^\emptyset = \chi^{\emptyset_r} = 1$. □

In particular, we have the following

Corollary 3.11. *The principal ℓ-block of $N \wr S_w$ is*

$$b_0 = \{\chi_r^\alpha,\ \alpha_r = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, \emptyset, \ldots, \emptyset) \Vdash w\}.$$

4 A generalized perfect isometry

We can now prove our main result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\ell \ge 2$ be any integer. Let G be the symmetric group $S_{\ell w+r}$, where $0 \le r$, $w < \ell$, and let $\mathcal{L} \cong L^w \cong \mathbf{Z}_\ell^w$ be a "Sylow ℓ-subgroup" of G. Then there is a generalized perfect isometry with respect to ℓ-regular elements between the principal ℓ-blocks of G and $N_G(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. The principal ℓ-block of $S_{\ell w+r}$ is $B_0 = \{\varphi_\lambda,\, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r\}$, where $\mathcal{P}_r$ denotes the set of partitions of $\ell w + r$ with ℓ-core (r). For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r$, we write

$$\alpha_\lambda = (\alpha_\lambda^1,\, \ldots,\, \alpha_\lambda^\ell) \Vdash w$$

the ℓ-quotient of λ, and, as before,

$$\alpha_{\lambda,r} = (\alpha_\lambda^1,\, \ldots,\, \alpha_\lambda^\ell,\, \emptyset,\, \ldots,\, \emptyset) \Vdash w$$

and

$$\alpha_{\lambda,r}^* = (\overline{\alpha_\lambda^1},\, \ldots,\, \overline{\alpha_\lambda^m},\, \alpha_\lambda^{m+1},\, \ldots,\, \alpha_\lambda^\ell,\, \emptyset,\, \ldots,\, \emptyset) \Vdash w.$$

We have $\mathrm{Irr}(L \wr S_w) = \{\chi^{\alpha_\lambda},\, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r\}$, and, by Theorem 3.5, there exist signs $\{\varepsilon(\lambda),\, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r\}$ such that, for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_r$,

$$\langle \varphi_\lambda,\, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{\substack{\ell-reg \\ S_{\ell w+r}}} = \langle \varepsilon(\lambda)\chi^{\alpha_\lambda},\, \varepsilon(\mu)\chi^{\alpha_\mu} \rangle_{\substack{reg \\ L \wr S_w}}.$$

For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r$, we write $\eta(\lambda) = (-1)^{\sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha_\lambda^n|}$ (so that $\chi_0^{\alpha_{\lambda,r}} = \eta(\lambda)\chi^{\alpha_{\lambda,r}^*}$). Then $\chi^{\alpha_\lambda} \longmapsto \chi^{\alpha_{\lambda,r}^*}$ is a bijection from $\mathrm{Irr}(L \wr S_w)$ onto the principal ℓ-block $b_0 = \{\chi^{\alpha_{\lambda,r}^*},\, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_r\}$ of $N \wr S_w$, and, for any $\lambda,\, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_r$, we have, by Proposition 3.10,

$$\langle \chi^{\alpha_\lambda},\, \chi^{\alpha_\mu} \rangle_{\substack{reg \\ L \wr S_w}} = \langle \eta(\lambda)\chi^{\alpha_{\lambda,r}^*},\, \eta(\mu)\chi^{\alpha_{\mu,r}^*} \rangle_{\substack{\ell-reg \\ N \wr S_w}}.$$

Finally, using the results of section 2.1, we get that

$$I\colon \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & \longrightarrow & b_0 \otimes \{1_{S_r}\} \\ \varphi_\lambda & \longmapsto & \chi^{\alpha_{\lambda,r}^*} \otimes 1_{S_r} \end{array} \right.$$

is a bijection between the principal ℓ-blocks of $G = S_{\ell w+r}$ and $N_G(\mathcal{L})$ such that, for any $\lambda,\, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_r$, we have

$$\langle \varphi_\lambda,\, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{\substack{\ell-reg \\ S_{\ell w+r}}} = \langle \varepsilon(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)I(\varphi_\lambda),\, \varepsilon(\mu)\eta(\mu)I(\varphi_\mu) \rangle_{\substack{\ell-reg \\ N_G(\mathcal{L})}},$$

which ends the proof. □

Many thanks to Elvis.

References

[1] M. Broué, *Isométries parfaites, types de blocs, catégories dérivées*, Astérisque **181-182** (1990), 61-92.

[2] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **163**, Springer-Verlag (1996).

[3] J.-B. Gramain, *Generalized perfect isometries in some groups of Lie rank one*, J. Algebra **299** (2006), 820-840.

[4] G. H. Hardy, E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, fifth edition, Oxford at the Clarendon Press (1979).

[5] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press (1976).

[6] G. James, A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric groups*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Application **16**, Addison-Wesley (1981).

[7] B. Külshammer, J. B. Olsson, G. R. Robinson, *Generalized blocks for symmetric groups*, Invent. Math. **151**(3), 513-552 (2003).

[8] A. Maróti, *On generalized blocks for alternating groups*, J. Algebra **297**, 400-408 (2006).

[9] G. Navarro, *Characters and blocks of finite groups*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **250**, Cambridge University Press (1998).

[10] J. B. Olsson, *McKay numbers and heights of characters*, Math. Scand. **38**, 25-42 (1976).

[11] G. Pfeiffer, *Character Tables of Weyl groups in GAP*, Bayreuth. Math. Schr. **47**, 165-222 (1994).

[12] R. Rouquier, *Isométries parfaites dans les blocs à défaut abélien des groupes symétriques et sporadiques*, J. Algebra **168**, 648-694 (1994).